1

2

3

4

5

6

DUSTIN M.,

v.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

21

20

FILED IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

Mar 09, 2022

SEAN F. MCAVOY, CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

Plaintiff,

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

NO: 2:21-CV-128-RMP

ORDER GRANTING PARTIES' STIPULATED MOTION TO REMAND

BEFORE THE COURT is the parties' Stipulated Motion to Remand pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). ECF No. 21. Plaintiff Dustin M.¹ is represented by attorney Chad L. Hatfield. The Commissioner of Social Security is represented by Special Assistant United States Attorney Martha A. Boden.

After consideration, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties' Stipulated Motion for Remand, ECF No. 21, is GRANTED. Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, the Commissioner's final decision is reversed and remanded for

ORDER GRANTING PARTIES' STIPULATED MOTION TO REMAND ~ 1

¹ The Court uses Plaintiff's first name and last initial to protect his privacy.

further proceedings pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. §405(g). Upon remand to the Commissioner of Social Security, the Appeals Council should instruct the Administrative Law Judge to:

- offer Plaintiff the opportunity for a hearing and take any further action needed to complete the administrative record and issue a new decision;
- evaluate the opinion evidence, considering its supportability and consistency with the record evidence;
- reevaluate Plaintiff's maximum residual functional capacity (RFC) and determine whether Plaintiff is able to do any other work considering his RFC; and
- as warranted by the expanded record, obtain supplemental vocational expert evidence to clarify the effect of the assessed limitations on Plaintiff's ability to perform other work in the national economy.

 See ECF No. 21-1 at 1–2.

Upon proper presentation, this Court will consider Plaintiff's application for costs and attorney's fees under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1920, 2412(d).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment, ECF No. 14, is DENIED AS MOOT.

IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Court Clerk is directed to enter this

Order and provide copies to counsel, enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff, and close
the file.

DATED March 9, 2022. s/Rosanna Malouf Peterson

ROSANNA MALOUF PETERSON
Senior United States District Judge